IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

CRIMINAL NO. 3:CR-13-123

JESSIE CON-UI,

Defendant

SPECIAL VERDICT FORM PENALTY PHASE

COUNT I: First Degree Murder

SECTION I. AGE OF DEFENDANT

<u>Instructions</u>: In Section I, answer the following question by marking the line next to the appropriate answer, "YES" or "NO," to indicate the jury's finding.

Do you, the jury, unanimously find that the government has established beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant, JESSIE CON-UI, was eighteen (18) years of age or older at the time of the offense specified in Count I (February 25, 2013)?

YES	Ý	NO	

<u>Instructions</u>: If you answered "NO" in Section I, then stop your deliberations on this Count and proceed to Section VII. Each juror should then carefully read the statement in Section VII, and sign in the appropriate place if the statement accurately reflects the manner in which he or she reached his or her decision.

If you answered "YES" with respect to the determination in Section I, proceed to Section II, which follows.

SECTION II. GATEWAY FACTORS

<u>Instructions</u>: In Section II, please indicate which, if any, of the following Gateway Factors you unanimously find that the government has proven beyond a reasonable doubt. Answer the following questions by marking the line next to the appropriate answer, "YES" or "NO," to indicate the jury's finding.

A. Do you, the jury, unanimously find that the government has established beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant, JESSIE CON-UI, intentionally killed Eric Williams?

YES	 NO	

B. Do you, the jury, unanimously find that the government has established beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant,

JESSIE CON-UI, intentionally inflicted serious bodily injury which resulted in the death of Eric Williams?

YES_	 NO	

C. Do you, the jury, unanimously find that the government has established beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant, JESSIE CON-UI, intentionally participated in an act, contemplating that the life of a person would be taken or intending that lethal force would be used in connection with a

person, other than one of the participants in the offense, and Eric Williams died as a direct result of the act?

	/		
YES	\checkmark	NO	

Î

D. Do you, the jury, unanimously find that the government has established beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant, JESSIE CON-UI, intentionally and specifically engaged in an act of violence, knowing that the act created a grave risk of death to a person, other than one of the participants in the offense, such that participation in the act constituted a reckless disregard for human life and Eric Williams died as a direct result of the act?

YES	<u> </u>	NO	

<u>Instructions</u>: If you answered "NO" with respect to all of the Gateway Factors in Section II, then stop your deliberations on this Count and proceed to Section VII. Each juror should carefully read the statement in Section VII, and sign in the appropriate place if the statement accurately reflects the manner in which he or she reached his or her decision.

If you answered "YES" with respect to one or more of the Gateway Factors in Section II, proceed to Section III, which follows.

SECTION III. STATUTORY AGGRAVATING FACTORS

Instructions: In Section III, please indicate which, if any, of the following Statutory Aggravating Factors you unanimously find that the government has proven beyond a reasonable doubt. Answer the following questions by marking the line next to the appropriate answer, "YES" or "NO," to indicate the jury's finding.

1.	Do you, the jury, unanimously find that the government has
	established beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant,
	JESSIE CON-UI, has previously been convicted of a State offense
	resulting in the death of a person, for which a sentence of life
	imprisonment or a sentence of death was authorized by statute?

YES	V	NO	

2. Do you, the jury, unanimously find that the government has established beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant, JESSIE CON-UI, has previously been convicted of a State offense punishable by a term of imprisonment of more than one (1) year, involving the use or attempted or threatened use of a firearm against another person?

YES	<u> </u>	NO	_

3.	Do you, the jury, unanimously find that the government has
	established beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant,
	JESSIE CON-UI, committed the offense specified in Count I in an
	especially heinous, cruel, or depraved manner in that it involved
	torture or serious physical abuse to the victim, Eric Williams?

	/		
YES	1	NO	

4. Do you, the jury, unanimously find that the government has established beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant,

JESSIE CON-UI, has previously been convicted of violating Title

II or III of the Comprehensive Drug Abuse Prevention and

Control Act of 1970 for which a sentence of five (5) or more years may be imposed?

YES_	√	NO	

5. Do you, the jury, unanimously find that the government has established beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant,

JESSIE CON-UI, committed the offense specified in Count I against a federal public servant who was an employee of a United States penal or correctional institution while he was engaged in the performance of his official duties?

YES	√	NO	

6. Do you, the jury, unanimously find that the government has established beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant,

JESSIE CON-UI, committed the offense specified in Count I after substantial planning and premeditation to cause the death of Eric Williams?

	/		
YES		NO	

<u>Instructions</u>: If you answered "NO" with respect to all six of the Statutory Aggravating Factors in Section III, then stop your deliberations on this Count and proceed to Section VII. Each juror should then carefully read the statement in Section VII, and sign in the appropriate place if the statement accurately reflects the manner in which he or she reached his or her decision.

If you answered "YES" with respect to one or more of the Statutory Aggravating Factors in Section III, proceed to Section IV, which follows.

SECTION IV. NON-STATUTORY AGGRAVATING FACTORS

<u>Instructions</u>: In Section IV, please indicate which, if any, of the following Non-Statutory Aggravating Factors you unanimously find that the government has proven beyond a reasonable doubt. Answer the following questions by marking the line next to the appropriate answer, "YES" or "NO," to indicate the jury's finding.

Do you, the jury, unanimously find that the government has established beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant, JESSIE CON-UI, has committed and participated in more than one of the uncharged serious acts of violence and attempted violence listed below (paragraphs A-D)?

YES	$\sqrt{}$	NO	

If your answer to the above question is "YES," please indicate below which of the following uncharged acts you, the jury, unanimously find that the government has established beyond a reasonable doubt. If your answer to the above question is "NO," proceed to consideration of the second Non-Statutory Aggravating Factor, which follows.

A.	In September-October 1999, the defendant, JESSIE CON
	UI, threatened to harm other inmates at ASPC Florence?
	YES NO

В.	On June 2, 2000, the defendant, JESSIE CON-UI,
	assaulted another inmate with a metal food tray at ASPC
	Winslow? /
	YES NO
C.	On October 23, 2009, while an inmate at U.S.P. Victorville,
	the defendant, JESSIE CON-UI, threatened to physically
	harm a Federal Corrections Officer?
	YES NO
D.	On November 21, 2010, the defendant, JESSIE CON-UI,
	while an inmate at U.S.P. Pollock, assaulted and stabbed
	another inmate with a homemade weapon?
	YES NO

2. Do you, the jury, unanimously find that the government has established beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant, JESSIE CON-UI, has caused injury, harm, and loss to the victim, Eric Williams, and the victim's family and friends, as demonstrated by the victim's personal characteristics as an individual human being and the impact of the death upon the victim and the victim's family and friends?

YES	 NO	

<u>Instructions</u>: After you have completed your findings in Section IV (regardless of whether you answered "YES" or "NO" with respect to the Non-Statutory Aggravating Factors in Section IV), proceed to Section V, which follows.

SECTION V. MITIGATING FACTORS

<u>Instructions</u>: In Section V, please indicate in the space provided under each Mitigating Factor the number of jurors who have found the existence of that Mitigating Factor to be proven by a preponderance of the evidence. If no jurors vote that such a Factor has been proven, indicate so by placing a "0" in the space provided.

A. The following six Mitigating Factors (Factors 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6) have been established. The Foreperson must enter the number "12" in the space provided as to each of them. Each juror is required to weigh these six Factors in the balance of Aggravating and Mitigating Factors:

The alternative to a sentence of death is lifetime incarceration with no possibility of release.
 Number of jurors who so find: 12

2. Upon completion of his federal sentence for a drug conviction, Jessie Con-Ui would have been turned over to the State of Arizona to complete a life sentence, for which he was eligible for release on parole after twenty-five (25) years.

Number of jurors who so find: $\frac{12}{2}$

3. At the time of the murder of Officer Williams, Jessie Con-Ui was eligible for release on parole by the State of Arizona in 2031.

Number of jurors who so find: $\frac{12}{12}$

- 5. Parole was abolished in the federal system thirty (30) years ago.

Number of jurors who so find: 12

6. Jessie Con-Ui offered to plead guilty to the murder of Officer Williams, waive all appeals, and submit to a sentence of lifetime imprisonment without release under conditions of confinement to be determined by the Federal Bureau of Prisons.

Number of jurors who so find: 12

<u>Instructions</u>: As to the other alleged Mitigating Factors that are listed below, please indicate which, if any, you find that the defendant has proven by a preponderance of the evidence.

B. <u>Mitigating Factors (continued)</u>

7. The federal conviction for the murder of Officer Williams means that Jessie Con-Ui will be subject to increased punishment, including more severe restrictions on his liberty and the loss of many privileges extended to maximum security prisoners in general population.

Number of jurors who so find: 10

More than two decades of incarceration contributed to 8. Jessie Con-Ui's state of mind on the night he killed Officer Williams. Number of jurors who so find: 10At age 17, Jessie Con-Ui had a number of problems that 9. were not addressed by the Arizona Juvenile Justice System. Number of jurors who so find: O10. At the time Jessie Con-Ui was in the Arizona Juvenile Justice System, it was under the supervision of the federal courts because of its inadequate and improper treatment of the juveniles under its supervision. Number of jurors who so find: 12Jessie Con-Ui was not offered any services at the time he 11. left the Arizona Juvenile Justice System.

Number of jurors who so find: 12

12. The Arizona Juvenile Justice System did nothing to assist Jessie Con-Ui with his problems.

Number of jurors who so find: 2

- 13. At age 19, Jessie Con-Ui entered the Arizona prison system.

 Number of jurors who so find: 12
- 14. The Arizona corrections system was so dangerous and out of control at the time Jessie Con-Ui entered it that a federal court found it could not ensure the safety of its prisoners.

 Number of jurors who so find: 12
- 15. During the years Jessie Con-Ui was there, the Arizona

	prison system was poorly administered.
	Number of jurors who so find: 12
16.	During the years Jessie Con-Ui was there, the Arizona
	prison system did not provide rehabilitative services.
	Number of jurors who so find: 3
17.	Jessie Con-Ui's experiences in the Arizona prison system
	changed him for the worse.
	Number of jurors who so find: 12
18.	Jessie Con-Ui was exposed to "risk factors" or "adverse
	childhood experiences" that had a negative effect on the
	course of his life.
	Number of jurors who so find: 12
19.	As young children, Jessie Con-Ui, Jim Con-Ui and Maria
	Con-Ui witnessed their father beat their mother.
	Number of jurors who so find: 12
20.	Jessie Con-Ui's father, Jaime Boado, abandoned the family
	when Jessie Con-Ui was 3 years old.
	Number of jurors who so find: 12
21.	After his father left the family, Jessie Con-Ui had no
	relationship with him.
	Number of jurors who so find: $1/2$
22.	Jessie Con-Ui had a special relationship with his baby
	brother Ritchie Con-Ui.
	Number of jurors who so find: 12
23.	Ritchie Con-Ui died at age 3 when Jessie Con-Ui was 4-1/2

years old, a family tragedy that deeply saddened him. Number of jurors who so find: 12For the first five years of his life, Jessie Con-Ui lived in 24.poverty in Tondo, a notorious slum in Manila. Number of jurors who so find: 12Jessie Con-Ui was especially close to his grandmother, 25. Paulina, who suffered from lifelong mental illness. Number of jurors who so find: 12Jessie Con-Ui and his immediate family moved to Rome, 26. New York in 1987 when he was 9 years old. Number of jurors who so find: 1227. The move to New York cut off Jessie Con-Ui's ties with his extended and loving Filipino family. Number of jurors who so find: 12Because of his Filipino ethnicity, Jessie Con-Ui was 28. subjected to racial slurs in upstate New York. Number of jurors who so find: 12Despite the difficulties of moving from the Philippines to 29. upstate New York, Jessie Con-Ui initially did well in school and sports. Number of jurors who so find: 12In 8th grade, Jessie Con-Ui was named MVP (most 30. valuable player) on his school's lacrosse team. Number of jurors who so find: 12 Because of family problems, Jessie Con-Ui's family lost 31.

their home in Rome, New York and relocated to Syracuse. Number of jurors who so find: 12The move to Syracuse was a difficult transition for Jessie 32.Con-Ui. Number of jurors who so find: 1233. Although the family briefly began counseling at this period, there was no follow-up. Number of jurors who so find: 12Gary Sliney punished his children by locking them out of 34. their house. Number of jurors who so find: 1235. At approximately age 15, Jessie Con-Ui's life began a downward turn. Number of jurors who so find: 1236. At approximately age 15, Jessie Con-Ui began to use drugs. Number of jurors who so find: 12The family's sudden move to Arizona when Jessie Con-Ui 37. was 16 had a negative impact on him. Number of jurors who so find: 1238. Because of ongoing difficulties with his step-father, Gary Sliney, Jessie Con-Ui began spending more and more time away from home. Number of jurors who so find: $\frac{12}{}$

39.

Jessie Con-Ui has maintained a loving relationship with his

	family.
	Number of jurors who so find: Q
40.	Jessie Con-Ui is important to his sons, Jessie Con-Ui, Jr.
	(age 14) and Jadin Con-Ui (age 13).
	Number of jurors who so find: Q
41.	Jessie Con-Ui, Jr. and Jadin Con-Ui value the relationship
	they have with their father.
	Number of jurors who so find: 4
42.	Jessie Con-Ui and his sons love one another.
	Number of jurors who so find: 12
43.	Jessie Con-Ui and his mother Teresita ("Tess") Sliney love
	one another.
	Number of jurors who so find: 12
44.	Jessie Con-Ui and his sister Maria Mask love one another.
	Number of jurors who so find: 12
45 .	Jessie Con-Ui and his brother Jim Con-Ui love one another
	Number of jurors who so find: $\frac{1}{2}$
46.	Jessie Con-Ui's extended family and friends in the
	Philippines love Jessie Con-Ui.
	Number of jurors who so find: \nearrow
47.	If Jessie Con-Ui is executed, his mother, Tess Sliney, will
	suffer grief and loss.
	Number of jurors who so find: 12
48.	If Jessie Con-Ui is executed, his sister, Maria Mask, will
	1

	suffer grief and loss.
	Number of jurors who so find: 12
49.	If Jessie Con-Ui is executed, his brother, Jim Con-Ui, and
	his family, will suffer grief and loss.
	Number of jurors who so find: 12
50.	If Jessie Con-Ui is executed, his brother-in-law, Mark
	Mask, will suffer grief and loss.
	Number of jurors who so find: $\underline{\hspace{1cm}}$
51.	If Jessie Con-Ui is executed, his nieces, Hailey and
	Hannah, will suffer grief and loss.
	Number of jurors who so find: $\underline{\psi}$
52.	If Jessie Con-Ui is executed, his step-sister, Sarah Sliney,
	will suffer grief and loss.
	Number of jurors who so find:
53.	If Jessie Con-Ui is executed, one or more of his childhood
	friends and others from Rome, N.Y-including Jane Padron,
	Brian Evans, Eric Ducharo, Joseph Martin, and Christine
	Rudd-will suffer grief and loss.
	Number of jurors who so find: $\overline{}$
54.	If Jessie Con-Ui is executed, Virginia Moore, his friend from
	Tempe, Arizona, will suffer grief and loss.
	Number of jurors who so find: Q
55.	Jessie Con-Ui's execution would have a devastating lifetime
	impact on his sons.
	Number of jurors who so find: $\frac{3}{2}$

56. Jessie Con-Ui is remorseful for having taken Officer Williams' life.

Number of jurors who so find:

57. Jessie Con-Ui is remorseful for the impact his actions have had on Officer Williams' family and loved ones.Number of jurors who so find: ______

58. Jessie Con-Ui is ashamed of his actions.

Number of jurors who so find:

59. Jessie Con-Ui behaved respectfully in court.Number of jurors who so find: 12

60. Executing Jessie Con-Ui will not undo the harm he has caused the Williams family.

Number of jurors who so find: 12

61. Other factors weigh in favor of a life sentence.

Number of jurors who so find: 12

<u>Instructions</u>: You may consider during your deliberations any other factor or factors in the defendant's background, record, character, or any other circumstances of the offense that mitigate against imposition of a death sentence.

The following extra spaces are provided to write in additional Mitigating Factors, if any, found by one (1) or more jurors. Indicate the number of jurors who find the existence of that Mitigating Factor has been proven by a preponderance of the evidence. If none, write "NONE" and line out the extra spaces with a large "X." If more space is needed,

write "CONTINUED" and use the reverse side of this page.

Jussiu Con-Uis mo	ther TESS Sliney did no
Intervene or do eni	ough to help Jessie Con
Number of jurors who so	find: 12
Number of jurors who so	find:
Number of jurors who so	find:
Number of inner he co	End.
Number of jurors who so	nna.

<u>Instructions</u>: After you have completed your findings in Section V (regardless of whether you have found any Mitigating Factors in Section V), proceed to Section VI, which follows.

SECTION VI. <u>DETERMINATION OF SENTENCE</u> FOR COUNT I

<u>Instructions</u>: In Section VI, enter your determination of the defendant's sentence with regard to Count I.

Based upon consideration of whether the Aggravating Factor or Factors found to exist sufficiently outweigh any Mitigating Factor or Factors found to exist to justify a sentence of death:

A.	We, the jury, determine, by unanimous vote, that a sentence of death shall be imposed on the defendant, JESSIE CON-UI, for Count I: First Degree Murder.
	YES NO
B.	We, the jury, determine, by unanimous vote, that a sentence of life imprisonment without the possibility of release shall be imposed on the defendant, JESSIE CON-UI, for Count I: First Degree Murder. YESNO
C.	We, the jury, are unable to reach a unanimous verdict. We understand that the consequence of this is that the defendant, JESSIE CON-UI, will be sentenced to life imprisonment without the possibility of release for Count I: First Degree Murder. YESNO

<u>Instructions</u>: After entering your determination of the defendant's sentence with regard to Count I, each juror must sign his or her name below in the spaces provided, indicating that the above sentence <u>determination reflects</u> the jury's decision, whether unanimous or not:

The Foreperson shall indicate the date of signing.

Date: *July 10, 2017*

<u>Instructions</u>: Upon completion of Section VI (regardless of the sentence determination made), proceed to Section VII, which follows.

SECTION VII. CERTIFICATION

By signing your name below, each juror certifies that consideration of the race, color, religious beliefs, national origin, or sex of the defendant or the victim was not involved in reaching his or her individual decision, and that the individual juror would have made the same recommendation regarding a sentence for the crime or crimes in question regardless of the race, color, religious beliefs, national origin, or sex of the defendant, or the victim.

The Foreperson shall indicate the date of signing:

Date: 4

<u>Instructions</u>: After each juror has signed in the spaces provided above, you should proceed to Count II.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA *

*

* CRIMINAL NO. 3:CR-13-123

JESSIE CON-UI,

*

Defendant

v.

SPECIAL VERDICT FORM PENALTY PHASE

COUNT II: First Degree Murder of a United States Corrections
Officer

SECTION I. AGE OF DEFENDANT

<u>Instructions</u>: In Section I, answer the following question by marking the line next to the appropriate answer, "YES" or "NO," to indicate the jury's finding.

Do you, the jury, unanimously find that the government has established beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant, JESSIE CON-UI, was eighteen (18) years of age or older at the time of the offense specified in Count II (February 25, 2013)?



<u>Instructions</u>: If you answered "NO" in Section I, then stop your deliberations on this Count and proceed to Section VII. Each juror should then carefully read the statement in Section VII, and sign in the appropriate place if the statement accurately reflects the manner in which he or she reached his or her decision.

If you answered "YES" with respect to the determination in Section I, proceed to Section II, which follows.

SECTION II. GATEWAY FACTORS

<u>Instructions</u>: In Section II, please indicate which, if any, of the following Gateway Factors you unanimously find that the government has proven beyond a reasonable doubt. Answer the following questions by marking the line next to the appropriate answer, "YES" or "NO," to indicate the jury's finding.

A. Do you, the jury, unanimously find that the government has established beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant,

JESSIE CON-UI, intentionally killed United States Corrections

Officer Eric Williams while he was engaged in the performance of his official duties?

	/		
YES	√	NO	

B. Do you, the jury, unanimously find that the government has established beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant, JESSIE CON-UI, intentionally inflicted serious bodily injury which resulted in the death of Eric Williams, a United States Corrections Officer while he was engaged in the performance of his official duties?

YES _	$\sqrt{}$	NO	

C. Do you, the jury, unanimously find that the government has established beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant, JESSIE CON-UI, intentionally participated in an act, contemplating that the life of a person would be taken or intending that lethal force would be used in connection with a person, other than one of the participants in the offense, and Eric Williams, a United States Corrections Officer engaged in the performance of his official duties, died as a direct result of the act?

	/		
YES	V	NO	

D. Do you, the jury, unanimously find that the government has established beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant, JESSIE CON-UI, intentionally and specifically engaged in an act of violence, knowing that the act created a grave risk of death to a person, other than one of the participants in the offense, such that participation in the act constituted a reckless disregard for human life, and Eric Williams, a United States Corrections Officer engaged in the performance of his official duties, died as a direct result of the act?

YES_	 NO	

<u>Instructions</u>: If you answered "NO" with respect to all of the Gateway Factors in Section II, then stop your deliberations on this Count and proceed to Section VII. Each juror should carefully read the statement in Section VII, and sign in the appropriate place if the statement accurately reflects the manner in which he or she reached his or her decision.

If you answered "YES" with respect to one or more of the Gateway Factors in Section II, proceed to Section III, which follows.

SECTION III. STATUTORY AGGRAVATING FACTORS

<u>Instructions</u>: In Section III, please indicate which, if any, of the following Statutory Aggravating Factors you unanimously find that the government has proven beyond a reasonable doubt. Answer the following questions by marking the line next to the appropriate answer, "YES" or "NO," to indicate the jury's finding.

1.	Do you, the jury, unanimously find that the government has
	established beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant,
	JESSIE CON-UI, has previously been convicted of a State offense
	resulting in the death of a person, for which a sentence of life
	imprisonment or a sentence of death was authorized by statute?

	/		
YES	1	NO	

2. Do you, the jury, unanimously find that the government has established beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant,

JESSIE CON-UI, has previously been convicted of a State offense punishable by a term of imprisonment of more than one (1) year, involving the use or attempted or threatened use of a firearm against another person?

YES_	 NO _	

3. Do you, the jury, unanimously find that the government has established beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant, JESSIE CON-UI, committed the offense specified in Count II in an especially heinous, cruel, or depraved manner in that it involved torture or serious physical abuse to the victim, Eric Williams?

YES	V	NO	

4. Do you, the jury, unanimously find that the government has established beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant, JESSIE CON-UI, has previously been convicted of violating Title II or III of the Comprehensive Drug Abuse Prevention and Control Act of 1970 for which a sentence of five (5) or more years may be imposed?

	/		
YES		NO	

5. Do you, the jury, unanimously find that the government has established beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant,

JESSIE CON-UI, committed the offense specified in Count II against a federal public servant who was an employee of a United States penal or correctional institution while he was engaged in the performance of his official duties?

YES✓	NO	
------	----	--

6. Do you, the jury, unanimously find that the government has established beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant, JESSIE CON-UI, committed the offense specified in Count II after substantial planning and premeditation to cause the death of Eric Williams?

YES	 NO	_

<u>Instructions</u>: If you answered "NO" with respect to all six of the Statutory Aggravating Factors in Section III, then stop your deliberations and proceed to Section VII of this form. Each juror should then carefully read the statement in Section VII, and sign in the appropriate place if the statement accurately reflects the manner in which he or she reached his or her decision.

If you answered "YES" with respect to one or more of the Statutory Aggravating Factors in Section III, proceed to Section IV, which fo lows.

SECTION IV. NON-STATUTORY AGGRAVATING FACTORS

<u>Instructions</u>: In Section IV, please indicate which, if any, of the following Non-Statutory Aggravating Factors you unanimously find that the government has proven beyond a reasonable doubt. Answer the following questions by marking the line next to the appropriate answer, "YES" or "NO," to indicate the jury's finding.

Do you, the jury, unanimously find that the government has established beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant, JESSIE CON-UI, has committed and participated in more than one of the uncharged serious acts of violence and attempted violence listed below (paragraphs A-D)?

	/		
YES_	<u> </u>	NO	

If your answer to the above question is "YES," please indicate below which of the following uncharged acts you, the jury, unanimously find that the government has established beyond a reasonable doubt. If your answer to the above question is "NO," proceed to consideration of the second Non-Statutory Aggravating Factor, which follows.

A.	In Sept	tember-	October 1	999, th	e defend	ant, JES	SIE CON
	UI, thr	eatened	l to harm	othe <i>j</i> r i	nmates a	t ASPC	Florence?
	YES	*	NO	1			

B.	On June 2, 2000, the defendant, JESSIE CON-UI,
	assaulted another inmate with a metal food tray at ASPC
	Winslow? /
	Winslow? / YES NO
C.	On October 23, 2009, while an inmate at U.S.P. Victorville
	the defendant, JESSIE CON-UI, threatened to physically
	harm a Federal Corrections Officer?
	YES NO
D.	On November 21, 2010, the defendant, JESSIE CON-UI,
	while an inmate at U.S.P. Pollock, assaulted and stabbed
	another inmate with a homemade weapon?
	YES V NO

2. Do you, the jury, unanimously find that the government has established beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant, JESSIE CON-UI, has caused injury, harm, and loss to the victim, Eric Williams, and the victim's family and friends, as demonstrated by the victim's personal characteristics as an individual human being and the impact of the death upon the victim and the victim's family and friends?

YES_	<u> </u>	NO	

<u>Instructions</u>: After you have completed your findings in Section IV (regardless of whether you answered "YES" or "NO" with respect to either of the Non-Statutory Aggravating Factors in Section IV), proceed to Section V, which follows.

SECTION V. MITIGATING FACTORS

<u>Instructions</u>: In Section V, please indicate in the space provided under each Mitigating Factor the number of jurors who have found the existence of that Mitigating Factor to be proven by a preponderance of the evidence. If no jurors vote that such a Factor has been proven, indicate so by placing a "0" in the space provided.

A. The following six Mitigating Factors (Factors 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6) have been established. The Foreperson must enter the number "12" in the space provided as to each of them. Each juror is required to weigh these six Factors in the balance of Aggravating and Mitigating Factors:

- 1. The alternative to a sentence of death is lifetime incarceration with no possibility of release.
 - Number of jurors who so find: 12
- 2. Upon completion of his federal sentence for a drug conviction, Jessie Con-Ui would have been turned over to the State of Arizona to complete a life sentence, for which he was eligible for release on parole after twenty-five (25) years.

Number of jurors who so find: 12

3. At the time of the murder of Officer Williams, Jessie Con-Ui was eligible for release on parole by the State of Arizona in 2031.

Number of jurors who so find:

- Because of his federal conviction for the murder of Officer Williams, Jessie Con-Ui will never be eligible for release.
 Number of jurors who so find: 12
- 5. Parole was abolished in the federal system thirty (30) years ago.

Number of jurors who so find: 12

6. Jessie Con-Ui offered to plead guilty to the murder of Officer Williams, waive all appeals, and submit to a sentence of lifetime imprisonment without release under conditions of confinement to be determined by the Federal Bureau of Prisons.

Number of jurors who so find: 12

<u>Instructions</u>: As to the other alleged Mitigating Factors that are listed below, please indicate which, if any, you find that the defendant has proven by a preponderance of the evidence.

B. <u>Mitigating Factors (continued)</u>

7. The federal conviction for the murder of Officer Williams means that Jessie Con-Ui will be subject to increased punishment, including more severe restrictions on his liberty and the loss of many privileges extended to maximum security prisoners in general population.

Number of jurors who so find: //

	Special verdice Form. Coolin II
8.	More than two decades of incarceration contributed to
	Jessie Con-Ui's state of mind on the night he killed Officer
	Williams.
	Number of jurors who so find: $\frac{10}{1000}$
9.	At age 17, Jessie Con-Ui had a number of problems that
	were not addressed by the Arizona Juvenile Justice System
	Number of jurors who so find:
10.	At the time Jessie Con-Ui was in the Arizona Juvenile
	Justice System, it was under the supervision of the federal
	courts because of its inadequate and improper treatment of
	the juveniles under its supervision.
	Number of jurors who so find: 12
11.	Jessie Con-Ui was not offered any services at the time he
	left the Arizona Juvenile Justice System.
	Number of jurors who so find: 12
12.	The Arizona Juvenile Justice System did nothing to assist
	Jessie Con-Ui with his problems.
	Number of jurors who so find: $\frac{2}{2}$
13.	At age 19, Jessie Con-Ui entered the Arizona prison system
	Number of jurors who so find: $\frac{12}{2}$
14.	The Arizona corrections system was so dangerous and out
	of control at the time Jessie Con-Ui entered it that a federal
	court found it could not ensure the safety of its prisoners.
	Number of jurors who so find: 12
15.	During the years Jessie Con-Ui was there, the Arizona

	prison system was poorly administered.
	Number of jurors who so find: 12
16.	During the years Jessie Con-Ui was there, the Arizona
	prison system did not provide rehabilitative services.
	Number of jurors who so find: 3
17.	Jessie Con-Ui's experiences in the Arizona prison system
	changed him for the worse.
	Number of jurors who so find: $\angle 2$
18.	Jessie Con-Ui was exposed to "risk factors" or "adverse
	childhood experiences" that had a negative effect on the
	course of his life.
	Number of jurors who so find: 12
19.	As young children, Jessie Con-Ui, Jim Con-Ui and Maria
	Con-Ui witnessed their father beat their mother.
	Number of jurors who so find: 12
20.	Jessie Con-Ui's father, Jaime Boado, abandoned the family
	when Jessie Con-Ui was 3 years old.
	Number of jurors who so find: 12
21.	After his father left the family, Jessie Con-Ui had no
	relationship with him.
	Number of jurors who so find: 12
22.	Jessie Con-Ui had a special relationship with his baby
	brother Ritchie Con-Ui.
	Number of jurors who so find: 12
23.	Ritchie Con-Ui died at age 3 when Jessie Con-Ui was 4-1/2

years old, a family tragedy that deeply saddened him. Number of jurors who so find: 1/2For the first five years of his life, Jessie Con-Ui lived in 24. poverty in Tondo, a notorious slum in Manila. Number of jurors who so find: 12 25. Jessie Con-Ui was especially close to his grandmother, Paulina, who suffered from lifelong mental illness. Number of jurors who so find: 12Jessie Con-Ui and his immediate family moved to Rome, 26. New York in 1987 when he was 9 years old. Number of jurors who so find: $\frac{12}{2}$ The move to New York cut off Jessie Con-Ui's ties with his 27. extended and loving Filipino family. Number of jurors who so find: 12Because of his Filipino ethnicity, Jessie Con-Ui was 28. subjected to racial slurs in upstate New York. Number of jurors who so find: 12 Despite the difficulties of moving from the Philippines to 29. upstate New York, Jessie Con-Ui initially did well in school and sports. Number of jurors who so find: 12 In 8th grade, Jessie Con-Ui was named MVP (most 30. valuable player) on his school's lacrosse team. Number of jurors who so find: 12 Because of family problems, Jessie Con-Ui's family lost 31.

	their home in Rome, New York and relocated to Syracuse.
	Number of jurors who so find: $\frac{12}{}$
32.	The move to Syracuse was a difficult transition for Jessie
	Con-Ui.
	Number of jurors who so find: 12
33.	Although the family briefly began counseling at this period
-	there was no follow-up.
	Number of jurors who so find: 12
34.	Gary Sliney punished his children by locking them out of
	their house.
	Number of jurors who so find: 12
35.	At approximately age 15, Jessie Con-Ui's life began a
	downward turn.
	Number of jurors who so find: 12
36.	At approximately age 15, Jessie Con-Ui began to use drugs
	Number of jurors who so find: $\frac{12}{}$
37.	The family's sudden move to Arizona when Jessie Con-Ui
	was 16 had a negative impact on him.
	Number of jurors who so find: 12
38.	Because of ongoing difficulties with his step-father, Gary
	Sliney, Jessie Con-Ui began spending more and more time
	away from home.
	Number of jurors who so find: $\frac{12}{}$

39.	Jessie Con-Ui has maintained a loving relationship with his
	family.
	Number of jurors who so find: $\underline{\mathcal{O}}$
40.	Jessie Con-Ui is important to his sons, Jessie Con-Ui, Jr.
	(age 14) and Jadin Con-Ui (age 13).
	Number of jurors who so find: $\underline{\mathcal{G}}$
41.	Jessie Con-Ui, Jr. and Jadin Con-Ui value the relationship
	they have with their father.
	Number of jurors who so find: $\frac{\mathcal{Y}}{}$
42.	Jessie Con-Ui and his sons love one another.
	Number of jurors who so find: 12
43.	Jessie Con-Ui and his mother Teresita ("Tess") Sliney love
	one another.
	Number of jurors who so find: 12
44.	Jessie Con-Ui and his sister Maria Mask love one another.
	Number of jurors who so find: 12
45.	Jessie Con-Ui and his brother Jim Con-Ui love one another.
	Number of jurors who so find: 12
46.	Jessie Con-Ui's extended family and friends in the
	Philippines love Jessie Con-Ui.
	Number of jurors who so find:
4 7.	If Jessie Con-Ui is executed, his mother, Tess Sliney, will
	suffer grief and loss.
	Number of jurors who so find: 12
48.	If Jessie Con-Ui is executed, his sister, Maria Mask, will
	ii dessie con oi is executed, ms sister, maria mask, win

	•
	suffer grief and loss.
	Number of jurors who so find: 12
49.	If Jessie Con-Ui is executed, his brother, Jim Con-Ui, and
	his family, will suffer grief and loss.
	Number of jurors who so find: 12
50.	If Jessie Con-Ui is executed, his brother-in-law, Mark
	Mask, will suffer grief and loss.
	Number of jurors who so find:
51.	If Jessie Con-Ui is executed, his nieces, Hailey and
	Hannah, will suffer grief and loss.
	Number of jurors who so find: 4
52.	If Jessie Con-Ui is executed, his step-sister, Sarah Sliney,
	will suffer grief and loss.
	Number of jurors who so find: 7
53.	If Jessie Con-Ui is executed, one or more of his childhood
	friends and others from Rome, N.Y-including Jane Padron,
	Brian Evans, Eric Ducharo, Joseph Martin, and Christine
	Rudd-will suffer grief and loss.
	Number of jurors who so find: $\underline{\emptyset}$
54.	If Jessie Con-Ui is executed, Virginia Moore, his friend from
	Tempe, Arizona, will suffer grief and loss.
	Number of jurors who so find: \mathcal{G}
55.	Jessie Con-Ui's execution would have a devastating lifetime
	impact on his sons.
	Number of jurors who so find: 3

56. Jessie Con-Ui is remorseful for having taken Officer Williams' life.

57. Jessie Con-Ui is remorseful for the impact his actions have had on Officer Williams' family and loved ones.

Number of jurors who so find:

58. Jessie Con-Ui is ashamed of his actions.

Number of jurors who so find: _______

59. Jessie Con-Ui behaved respectfully in court.

Number of jurors who so find: 12

60. Executing Jessie Con-Ui will not undo the harm he has caused the Williams family.

Number of jurors who so find: 12

61. Other factors weigh in favor of a life sentence.

Number of jurors who so find: 12

<u>Instructions</u>: You may consider during your deliberations any other factor or factors in the defendant's background, record, character, or any other circumstances of the offense that mitigate against imposition of a death sentence.

The following extra spaces are provided to write in additional Mitigating Factors, if any, found by one (1) or more jurors. Indicate the number of jurors who find the existence of that Mitigating Factor has been proved by a preponderance of the evidence. If none, write "NONE" and line out the extra spaces with a large "X." If more space is needed,

write "CONTINUED" and use the reverse side of this page.

Interv	ene or do enoug	er Tess Sliney dia
Numbe	r of jurors who so find	: <u>/2</u>
Numbe	r of jurors who so find	:
Numbe	r of jurors who so find	:

<u>Instructions</u>: After you have completed your findings in Section V (regardless of whether you have found any Mitigating Factors in Section V), proceed to Section VI, which follows.

SECTION VI. DETERMINATION OF SENTENCE FOR COUNT II

Instructions: In Section VI, enter your determination of the defendant's sentence with regard to Count II.

Based upon consideration of whether the Aggravating Factor or Factors found to exist sufficiently outweigh any Mitigating Factor or Factors found to exist to justify a sentence of death:

A.

A.	We, the jury, determine, by unanimous vote, that a sentence of death shall be imposed on the defendant, JESSIE CON-UI, for Count II: First Degree Murder of a United States Corrections Officer.
	YESNO
B.	We, the jury, determine, by unanimous vote, that a sentence of life imprisonment without the possibility of release shall be imposed on the defendant, JESSIE CON-UI, for Count II: First Degree Murder of a United States Corrections Officer. YESNO
C.	We, the jury, are unable to reach a unanimous verdict. We understand that the consequence of this is that the defendant, JESSIE CON-UI, will be sentenced to life imprisonment without the possibility of release for Count II: First Degree Murder of a United States Corrections Officer. YESNO

<u>Instructions</u>: After entering your determination of the defendant's sentence with regard to Count II, each juror must sign his or her name below in the spaces provided, indicating that the above sentence determination reflects the jury's decision, whether unanimous or not:

FOREPERSON

The Foreperson shall indicate the date of signing:

Date: 4/2/10, 2017

<u>Instructions</u>: Upon completion of Section VI (regardless of the sentence determination made), proceed to Section VII, which follows.

SECTION VII. CERTIFICATION

By signing your name below, each juror certifies that consideration of the race, color, religious beliefs, national origin, or sex of the defendant or the victim was not involved in reaching his or her individual decision, and that the individual juror would have made the same recommendation regarding a sentence for the crime or crimes in question regardless of the race, color, religious beliefs, national origin, or sex of the defendant, or the victim.

FOREPERSON

The Foreperson shall indicate the date of signing:

Date: (July 10, 2017

<u>Instructions:</u> After each juror has signed in the spaces provided above, the Foreperson should notify the Court that you have completed your deliberations.